

PROPOSED IOM CLASS RULE CHANGES TO COMPLY WITH THE 2005-2008 EQUIPMENT RULES OF SAILING

Introduction

The Equipment Rules of Sailing (“ERS”) have been updated for 2005-2008. A few of these changes have unintentionally affected the International One Meter (“IOM”) Class Rules (“CR”) particularly those of our rules that refer directly to the ERS. Therefore in order to maintain the status quo, the following revisions of the CR are being proposed by the Exec. Please note that the intention of these revisions is not to change the nature or purpose of any current IOM Class Rule, on the contrary it is to maintain our CR as presently understood.

The proposed “ERS Rule Changes” are listed below. Each change is written as an individual proposed rule change and can be voted on individually. However, it is the recommendation of the IOM Exec that an NCA also allow this group of rule changes to be voted on and adopted as a package.

AGM Proposal 15: Boom Spar Curvature

[CR C.7.5]

Comment: The intent of CR C.7.5 is to limit the curve of a main or jib boom. However the use of the ERS term **Boom Spar Curvature** [F.12.2] doesn’t seem to be applicable and that CR C.7.5 should be therefore rewritten without the reference to F.12.2.

IOM CR change suggestion.

C.7.5 to read:

The greatest distance between the top of the **spar** and a straight line between points on top of the **spar** 10mm from each end, measured at 90deg to the line.

AGM Proposal 16: Definition of Keel and Bulb

[CR E.1.1 (a)]

Comment: The intent of CR E.1.1 (a) is to ensure that a keel is fitted and the bulb fixed in place. The current ERS has been changed to allow the bulb to not be a fixed hull appendage. In order to bring the CR back into line it is suggest that the IOM CR now specify that the bulb shall be “fixed”.

IOM CR change suggestion.

E.1.1 (a) to read:

Keel, which may comprise a **fin** & fixed **bulb**.

AGM Proposal 17: Definition of Fundamental Measurement

Comment: The term “Fundamental Measurement” no longer means compliance with class rules. The ERS definition has been changed to mean, basically, the method used

to measure. Compliance with class rules is now covered by a new rule **Certification Control** [C.4.2].

Both the terms **Certify** [C.5.2] and **Certificate** [C.5.3] have been updated to reflect this by replacing “fundamental measurement” with “certification control”. In order to bring the IOM CR back into compliance it is suggested that wherever used in the CR the term “fundamental measurement” be replaced with “certification control”

IOM CR change suggestion.

A.13.1 (d)

“fundamental measurement” replaced with “certification control”.

A.14.1

“fundamental measurement” replaced with “certification control”.

A.14.1(b)

“fundamental measurement” replaced with “certification control”.

A.14.2(a)

“fundamental measurement” replaced with “certification control”.

A.14.2(b)

“fundamental measurement” replaced with “certification control”.

Part II

“fundamental measurement” replaced with “certification control”.

C.6.1

“fundamental measurement” replaced with “certification control”.

D.1.1

“fundamental measurement” replaced with “certification control”.

G.2.1

“fundamental measurement” replaced with “certification control”.

G.2.2

“fundamental measurement” replaced with “certification control”.

AGM Proposal 17: Definition of Equipment Limitation Mark [CR C.6.2, C.7.1, C.8.2]

Comment: There is no definition for Equipment Limitation Mark in the ERS.

We believe that the marks referred to in the CR are stamps or other marks placed by a race committee during an event.

IOM CR change suggestion.

That the term **Event Limitation Mark** replace the term “equipment limitation mark” in CR C.6.2, C.7.1 and C.8.2.

AGM Proposal 17: Definition of Lower Limit Point [CR C.7.4(a)]

Comment: There is no ERS definition for the term “Lower Limit Point”. This rule should simply refer to the term **Lower Point** [F.5.4].

IOM CR change suggestion.

The term Lower Limit Point in CR C.7.4 (a) is replaced with the term Lower Point.