

INTERNATIONAL ONE METRE
INTERNATIONAL CLASS ASSOCIATION

World Council Meeting 2006
Minutes

Version 1
October 2, 2006

1. Welcome

The meeting was held electronically between 18 September 2006 and 25 September 2006. 19 resolutions were put to owner vote. Of the 20 National Class Associations 15 returned a vote, 1 gave notice of not intending to vote, 1 was precluded from voting and 3 did not submit a result. Of the 2374 Registered Owners 420 participated in the voting (18%).

ESP was precluded under constitution 16.3. This matter is yet to be resolved.

2. Attendees

All World Council representatives had the opportunity to register their vote within the timescale.

3. Apologies

BRA indicated their intention not to vote.

4. Resolutions

Resolution 2.1	Votes For	: 40		
	Votes Against	: 25	Result	: Rejected
Resolution 2.2	Votes For	: 27		
	Votes Against	: 37	Result	: Rejected
Resolution 2.3	Votes For	: 65		
	Votes Against	: 0	Result	: Passed
Resolution 2.4	Votes For	: 65		
	Votes Against	: 0	Result	: Passed
Resolution 2.6	Votes For	: 61		
	Votes Against	: 4	Result	: Passed
Resolution 2.7	Votes For	: 74		
	Votes Against	: 0	Result	: Passed
Resolution 2.8	Votes For	: 64		
	Votes Against	: 10	Result	: Passed

Resolution 2.9	Votes For	: 60		
	Votes Against	: 14	Result	: Passed
Resolution 2.11	Votes For	: 59		
	Votes Against	: 6	Result	: Passed
Resolution 2.12	Votes For	: 58		
	Votes Against	: 1	Result	: Passed
Resolution 2.13	Votes For	: 58		
	Votes Against	: 1	Result	: Passed
Resolution 2.14	Votes For	: 59		
	Votes Against	: 0	Result	: Passed
Resolution 2.15	Votes For	: 57		
	Votes Against	: 2	Result	: Passed
Resolution 2.16	Votes For	: 57		
	Votes Against	: 2	Result	: Passed
Resolution 2.17	Votes For	: 59		
	Votes Against	: 1	Result	: Passed
Resolution 2.18	Votes For	: 59		
	Votes Against	: 0	Result	: Passed
Resolution 2.20	Votes For	: 59		
	Votes Against	: 0	Result	: Passed
Resolution 2.21	Votes For	: 55		
	Votes Against	: 4	Result	: Passed
Resolution 2.22	Votes For	: 59		
	Votes Against	: 0	Result	: Passed

3. Close

Appendix 1a: Agenda

Appendix 1: NCA proposed resolutions

Appendix 2: IOMICA Exec proposals

Appendix 3: IOMICA Exec proposals for updated ERS

Appendix 4: Voting Strength

Appendix 5: Voting Results

Appendix 1a: Agenda

INTERNATIONAL ONE METRE
INTERNATIONAL CLASS ASSOCIATION

World Council Meeting Agenda 2006

Version 1
July 31, 2006

1. Welcome

There are 19 resolutions for the meeting.

2. Resolutions

2.1 NOTING that the NCA for FRA proposes class rule changes as per appendix

1.1 the World Council

RESOLVES by Certified Owner vote

TO submit said proposal to ISAF-RSD for ratification.

2.2 NOTING that the NCA for FRA proposes class rule changes as per appendix

1.2 the World Council

RESOLVES by Certified Owner vote

TO submit said proposal to ISAF-RSD for ratification.

2.3 NOTING that the IOMICA Technical Sub Committee proposes class rule changes as per appendix 1.3 the World Council

RESOLVES by Certified Owner vote

TO submit said proposal to ISAF-RSD for ratification.

2.4 NOTING that the NCA for USA proposes class rule changes as per appendix

1.4 the World Council

RESOLVES by Certified Owner vote

TO submit said proposal to ISAF-RSD for ratification.

2.6 NOTING that the NCA for USA proposes class rule changes as per appendix

1.6 the World Council

RESOLVES by Certified Owner vote

TO submit said proposal to ISAF-RSD for ratification.

2.7 NOTING that the NCA for USA proposes Class Championship Rule changes as per appendix 1.7 the World Council

RESOLVES by Registered Owner vote

TO incorporate said proposal into the IOM Class Championship Rules.

2.8 NOTING that the NCA for USA proposes Class Championship Rule changes as per appendix 1.8 the World Council

RESOLVES by Registered Owner vote

TO incorporate said proposal into the IOM Class Championship Rules.

- 2.9 NOTING that the NCA for USA proposes Class Championship Rule changes as per appendix 1.9 the World Council
RESOLVES by Registered Owner vote
TO incorporate said proposal into the IOM Class Championship Rules.
- 2.11 NOTING that the IOMICA Executive Committee has recommended Class Rule Changes as laid out in Appendix 2, reproduced from the IOMICA web site, the World Council
RESOLVES by Certified Owner vote
TO submit Exec proposal 1 to ISAF-RSD for ratification.
- 2.12 NOTING that the IOMICA Executive Committee has recommended Class Rule Changes as laid out in Appendix 2, reproduced from the IOMICA web site, the World Council
RESOLVES by Certified Owner vote
TO submit Exec proposal 2 to ISAF-RSD for ratification.
- 2.13 NOTING that the IOMICA Executive Committee has recommended Class Rule Changes as laid out in Appendix 2, reproduced from the IOMICA web site, the World Council
RESOLVES by Certified Owner vote
TO submit Exec proposal 3 to ISAF-RSD for ratification.
- 2.14 NOTING that the IOMICA Executive Committee has recommended Class Rule Changes as laid out in Appendix 2, reproduced from the IOMICA web site, the World Council
RESOLVES by Certified Owner vote
TO submit Exec proposal 4 to ISAF-RSD for ratification.
- 2.15 NOTING that the IOMICA Executive Committee has recommended Class Rule Changes as laid out in Appendix 2, reproduced from the IOMICA web site, the World Council
RESOLVES by Certified Owner vote
TO submit Exec proposal 5 to ISAF-RSD for ratification.
- 2.16 NOTING that the IOMICA Executive Committee has recommended Class Rule Changes as laid out in Appendix 2, reproduced from the IOMICA web site, the World Council
RESOLVES by Certified Owner vote
TO submit Exec proposal 6 to ISAF-RSD for ratification.
- 2.17 NOTING that the IOMICA Executive Committee has recommended Class Rule Changes as laid out in Appendix 2, reproduced from the IOMICA web site, the World Council
RESOLVES by Certified Owner vote
TO submit Exec proposal 7 to ISAF-RSD for ratification.
- 2.18 NOTING that the IOMICA Executive Committee has recommended Class Rule Changes as laid out in Appendix 2, reproduced from the IOMICA web site, the World Council

RESOLVES by Certified Owner vote
TO submit Exec proposal 8 to ISAF-RSD for ratification.

2.20 NOTING that the IOMICA Executive Committee has recommended Class Rule Changes as laid out in Appendix 2, reproduced from the IOMICA web site, the World Council

RESOLVES by Certified Owner vote
TO submit Exec proposal 9 to ISAF-RSD for ratification.

2.21 NOTING that the IOMICA Executive Committee has recommended Class Rule Changes as laid out in Appendix 2, reproduced from the IOMICA web site, the World Council

RESOLVES by Certified Owner vote
TO submit Exec proposal 10 to ISAF-RSD for ratification.

2.22 NOTING that the IOMICA Executive Committee has recommended Class Rule Changes as laid out in Appendix 3, reproduced from the IOMICA web site, the World Council

RESOLVES by Certified Owner vote
TO submit Exec proposals 16, 17, 18 and 19 to ISAF-RSD for ratification.

3. Close

4. Notes

“Committee vote”: Each member of the World Council may cast one vote, and each vote counts equally. In the case of a tied vote, the Chairman of the meeting shall have a casting vote.

“Registered Owner vote”: Each member of the World Council may cast their allocated number of votes in proportion to their number of Registered Owners as described in the Regulations and as advised to the World Council on the IOMICA Web site. In the case of a tied vote, the Chairman of the meeting shall have a casting vote.

“Certificated Owner vote”: Each member of the World Council may cast their allocated number of votes in proportion to their number of Certificated Owners as described in the Regulations and as advised to the World Council on the IOMICA Web site. In the case of a tied vote, the Chairman of the meeting shall have a casting vote.

Appendix 1: NCA proposed resolutions

1.1 Resolution WC_AGM2006_01

Proposal:

Concerning sails building:

Add G.3.1 (b)(14) Where the body of the sail consists of one part, slit(s) into the leech may be use to shape the sail.

Add G.4.1 (b)(11) Where the body of the sail consists of one part, slit(s) into the leech may be use to shape the sail.

Discussion:

It's a very simple method to build sails, low cost, and "home made" and in the philosophy of the class. To increase number of IOM skippers, class need to propose low cost equipments.

1.2 Resolution WC_AGM2006_02

Proposal:

Adding of lower shrouds on IOM

Change in class rules :

F3.3b : add 14) lower shrouds fitting(s)

F3.4 add : Height of lower shrouds fitting above deck level : min = 0 max = height of spreaders

F5.2b : add 2) A pair of lower shrouds may be added.

Discussion:

Lower shrouds will increase the mast behaviour, so the importance of getting a high performance mast will be reduced. A boat with a low quality alloy could be as quick as a boat with a "top" mast

The lower shrouds shall be optional

They should be fixed on the same fitting on the hull than the current shrouds to avoid any modification of hulls.

They are different of checkstays which control the forward movement of the lower part of the mast.

These shrouds will improve lateral control of the mast.

1.3 Resolution WC_AGM2006_03

Proposal:

Change C 7.7(c)

From:

"(c) A headsail boom topping lift restraint line attached to, or passing around, the topping lift may be attached to and/or passed around any or all of the following: topping lift; headsail; headsail halyard; headsail stay."

To:

"(c) A headsail boom topping lift restraint line attached to, or passing around, the topping lift may be attached to and/or passed around any or all of the following: topping lift; headsail; headsail halyard; headsail stay; headsail boom."

Discussion:

Interpretation 2004-IOM-5 found that a rubber band used as a topping lift restraint line cannot be attached to the topping lift and then hooked around the boom. The proposed rule change would allow this simple and cheap use of a rubber band as a topping lift restraint line.

1.4 Resolution WC_AGM2006_04

Proposal:

Subject: Added Weights

Rule C.7.3(a)

Change to: "Weights may be positioned in or on a mast spar. If the weight is to be internal, it shall be installed at the lowest point possible. "

Discussion:

With a deck-stepped mast, you only have approximately 60 mm to insert lead weight internally. The #3 rig can require as much as 140 grams of corrector weight. Only about 60 grams of this will fit inside, and below the lower band. Eliminating this part of the rule would not enhance the performance capabilities of the boat. The only benefit gained would be a cleaner and simpler way to facilitate the installation of the weight and meet the minimum weight requirement while using the "c" rig on a deck-stepped boat.

1.6 Resolution WC_AGM2006_06

Proposal:

Subject: Mainsail Construction

Rule G.3

Add to G.3(a)(*): The luff must be attached to the mast.

Add to G.3(b)(*): With the exception of a double luff, any method of attachment is allowed.

Remove from G.3(b): Items 4,5,6,7,8.

G.3.3 - Dimensions: Remove luff fitting dimension.

Discussion:

The current rule allows for a full length wire, and an unlimited amount of wires 10mm or less. It seems senseless to disallow anything in-between.

1.7 Resolution WC_AGM2006_07

Proposal:

Class Championship Regulations

Subject: Championships

4.2(i)

Change "...may hold a Continental Championship" to "...may hold Continental Championships..."

Discussion:

The current wording suggests that only one Continental Championship is allowed biennially.

1.8 Resolution WC_AGM2006_08

Proposal:

Class Championship Regulations

Subject: Obligations of the Organising Authority

6.4

Change entire paragraph to read: "For World and Continental Championships, The organizing authority shall submit their intended method of on the water rule enforcement before ICA approval of said regatta.

Discussion:

While International Juries have proven to be effective, they have also proven to be just as ineffective. They are also extremely costly. While this proposal may not be clear enough as submitted, this topic surely needs to be addressed.

1.9 Resolution WC_AGM2006_09

Proposal:

Class Championship Regulations

Subject: Entries

8.61(ii)

Add "and one place to each Member NCA."

8.7.2

Remove "to a maximum of 12".

Discussion:

This will guarantee that each Member NCA can send at least one skipper to represent their NCA. We are an International Class, and each NCA should be allowed to enter at least one boat to ensure a true international event. Bear in mind, Although Europe is the only Continent to have held a Continental Championship regatta, This is expected to change. The Continental Regulations should be fair and unbiased to support a championship at any continent. The current regulation would not be sufficient for any Continental regatta other than the European Regatta.

IOMICA Exec Class Rule Change Proposals

Andy Stevenson/Roy Langbord July 2006

Introduction

With the changes to RRS & ERS for 2005 - 2008 the IOM Class rules now must be heavily modified by individual event SSI to retain the original intention of the class.

In order to rectify this problem a series of Class Rule modifications are being proposed by the current IOM Class Exec. Many similar changes were put forward at the Mooloolaba AGM and are being proposed again now due to our belief that many of these rule changes were rejected for procedural and other issues unrelated to their substance. Please note that the class will now be entitled to discuss, modify and vote on each of these proposed changes individually.

In addition, after extensive discussion, the IOM Class Exec is also proposing below a new rule change dealing with the issue of previously constructed texalium boats. Basically, this proposed rules provides for a special, one time only exemption that will make virtually all texalium boats legal for all purposes. However, it does not make any future use of this material legal in the IOM Class.

The proposed rule changes are set forth below. They can each be voted on individually:

Rules C4, C5, C6: Changes due to the removal of E4.7 from the RRS

Comment: The following rule changes are being proposed for incorporation into the IOM Class Rules in order to maintain the status quo after revisions in the RRS that principally permitted various forms of moving ballast. Additionally, a rule change is being proposed to allow radio transmissions from a boat to permit the use of the new spread, spectrum radio systems.

AGM Exec Proposal 1: No Water Ballast

Add to C.4:

C.4.4 WATER

Water shall not be used to trim the **boat** and it may be removed at any time.

AGM Exec Proposal 2: Spread Spectrum Technology

Comment: With the advent of the spread spectrum radio systems, frequency information is being transmitted from the boat. The above change clearly permits the use of such radio systems.

Change C.5.3 From:

C.5.3 REMOTE CONTROL EQUIPMENT

- (a) The rudder control unit shall control the **rudder** only.
- (b) The sheet control unit shall control the mainsail sheet and headsail sheet only.
- (c) Except for control unit positioning information, no radio transmissions from the **boat** shall be made.

To:

C.5.3 REMOTE CONTROL EQUIPMENT

- (a) The rudder control unit shall control the **rudder** only.
- (b) The sheet control unit shall control the mainsail sheet and headsail sheet only.
- (c) Except for control unit positioning and radio link information, no radio transmissions from the **boat** shall be made.

AGM Exec Proposal 3: Radio Gear Positioning

Add to C.5.3:

- (d) Remote control and/or related equipment if temporarily removed and/or replaced:
 - (1) shall be refitted in the same position
 - (2) shall be replaced by equipment of similar weight.

AGM Exec Proposal 4: Fixed Keels

Change C.6.3 From:

USE

- (a) The **keel** shall not move or rotate relative to the **hull**, except by deformation under load.
- (b) The **hull appendages** shall not project outboard of the **hull**.

To:

USE

- (a) The **keel** shall not move or rotate relative to the **hull**, except by deformation under load.
- (b) The **hull appendages** shall not project outboard of the **hull**.
- (c) If removed:
 - (1) The **keel** shall be refitted in the same attitude and position in the **hull**.
 - (2) Parts of the **keel** shall be refitted in the same attitude and position relative to the **keel**.
 - (3) The **rudder** shall be refitted in the same attitude and position relative to the **hull**.

AGM Exec Proposal 5: Wind Vanes

Comment: The position of a wind vane should be unrestricted.

Proposal:

Change C.7.4 (b) USE from:

The **spar** stepping position is optional.

To:

The **spar** stepping position and wind indicator position are optional.

AGM Exec Proposal 6: Sail Identification

Comment: Due to changes in the RRS regarding the size and placement of sail identification, many already measured sails could be ruled out of compliance. To fix this problem, identification on sails should be permitted under a “grand-fathering” arrangement.

Proposal:

Change C.8.3 IDENTIFICATION From:

Identification shall comply with the RRS.

To:

Identification shall comply with the RRS. Sails certified before 1st January 2005 shall comply with the sail identification rules in force at that time or at the time of initial certification.

AGM Exec Proposal 7: Spreader Definition, Typo

Comment: The term spreader is actually printed in “normal” print not in bold in F.3.3(b)(5) describing spreader as an optional fitting of mast. It should be printed in bold and used with the ERS meaning.

Proposal:

Change F.3.3(b)(5) from:

Pair of spreaders and their fittings(s) and/or openings(s).

To:

Pair of **spreaders** and their fittings(s) and/or openings(s).

AGM Exec Proposal 8: Jib Swivel, Typo

Comment: The omission of the "/or" after "Swivel and" in class rule F.4.4(a)(3) has been ruled as a typographical error in Edition 2003 v.2

Proposal:

Change F.4.4(a)(3) from:

Swivel and its fitting(s).

To:

Swivel and/or its fitting(s).

AGM Exec Proposal 9: Running Rigging Definition, Typo

Comment: In F.6 the word rigging does not appear in that section in bold.

Proposal:

Change F.6.1 from:

Materials of running rigging are unrestricted.

To:

Materials of running **rigging** are unrestricted.

AGM Exec Proposal 10: Texalium, Rule D.2.1(d)

Comment: This rule change is designed to permit the use of hulls containing texalium that were measured prior to September 2004.

Proposal:

Add to rule D.2.1: D.2.1(d)(3): Notwithstanding anything otherwise contained herein, for hulls with a date of initial fundamental measurement prior to September 1, 2004, it is permissible to use the material "Texalium" in the **hull** molding.

Appendix 3: IOMICA Exec proposals for updated ERS

PROPOSED IOM CLASS RULE CHANGES TO COMPLY WITH THE 2005-2008 EQUIPMENT RULES OF SAILING

Introduction

The Equipment Rules of Sailing (“ERS”) have been updated for 2005-2008. A few of these changes have unintentionally affected the International One Meter (“IOM”) Class Rules (“CR”) particularly those of our rules that refer directly to the ERS. Therefore in order to maintain the status quo, the following revisions of the CR are being proposed by the Exec. Please note that the intention of these revisions is not to change the nature or purpose of any current IOM Class Rule, on the contrary it is to maintain our CR as presently understood.

AGM Exec Proposal 16: IOM CR Rule A.5.1

Comment: To be clear on which version of the ERS applies.

IOM CR change suggestion.

Change A.5.1 from:

These **class rules** shall be read in conjunction with the ERS.

To:

These **class rules** shall be read in conjunction with the 2005-2008 ERS.

AGM Exec Proposal 17: Definition of Fundamental Measurement

Comment: The term “Fundamental Measurement” no longer means compliance with class rules. The ERS definition has been changed to mean, basically, the method used to measure. Compliance with class rules is now covered by a new rule **Certification Control** [C.4.2].

Both the terms **Certify** [C.5.2] and **Certificate** [C.5.3] have been updated to reflect this by replacing “fundamental measurement” with “certification control”. In order to bring the IOM CR back into compliance it is suggested that wherever used in the CR the term “fundamental measurement” be replaced with “certification control”

IOM CR change suggestion.

A.13.1 (d)

“fundamental measurement” replaced with “certification control”.

A.14.1

“fundamental measurement” replaced with “certification control”.

A.14.1(b)

“fundamental measurement” replaced with “certification control”.

A.14.2(a)

“fundamental measurement” replaced with “certification control”.

A.14.2(b)

“fundamental measurement” replaced with “certification control”.

Part II

“fundamental measurement” replaced with “certification control”.

C.6.1

“fundamental measurement” replaced with “certification control”.

D.1.1

“fundamental measurement” replaced with “certification control”.

G.2.1

“fundamental measurement” replaced with “certification control”.

G.2.2

“fundamental measurement” replaced with “certification control”.

**AGM Exec Proposal 18: Definition of Equipment Limitation Mark
[CR C.6.2, C.7.1, C.8.2]**

Comment: There is no definition for Equipment Limitation Mark in the ERS. We believe that the marks referred to in the CR are stamps or other marks placed by a race committee during an event.

IOM CR change suggestion.

That the term **Event Limitation Mark** replace the term “equipment limitation mark” in CR C.6.2, C.7.1 and C.8.2.

**AGM Exec Proposal 19: Definition of Lower Limit Point
[CR C.7.4(a)]**

Comment: There is no ERS definition for the term “Lower Limit Point”. This rule should simply refer to the term **Lower Point** [F.5.4].

IOM CR change suggestion.

The term Lower Limit Point in CR C.7.4 (a) is replaced with the term **Lower Point**.

IOMICA World Council 2006 Annual General Meeting

Membership and Voting Strength

2006 Aug 28

<u>National Class Associations</u>	<u>owner list date</u>	<u>registered owners</u>	<u>certificated owners</u>	<u>Ordinary Resolutions</u>		<u>Special Resolutions</u>		<u>NCA Representative</u>
				<u>Votes</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>Votes</u>	<u>%</u>	
ARG	2006 Aug 28	54	32	3	3,5	2	2,9	Ricardo Pollono
AUS	2006 Aug 17	473	461	10	11,8	10	14,3	Ken Dobbie
BAR	2006 Aug 21	13	13	1	1,2	1	1,4	Peter Allen
BRA	2005 Aug 18	27	27	0	0,0	0	0,0	Luiz Eduardo Gomez
CAN	2006 Aug 14	61	35	3	3,5	2	2,9	Lana Butler
CRO	2006 Aug 17	43	40	2	2,4	2	2,9	Zoran Grubisa
DEN	2006 Aug 12	30	30	2	2,4	2	2,9	Soren Andresen
ESP	2006 Aug 17	91	19	4	4,7	1	1,4	Alfonso Moreno
FIN	2006 Aug 13	30	17	2	2,4	1	1,4	Eero Laurila
FRA	2006 Jun 16	154	154	6	7,1	6	8,6	Marc Minaud
GBR	2006 Aug 19	576	548	11	12,9	11	15,7	Richard Rowan
GER	2006 Aug 28	159	148	6	7,1	5	7,1	Heinz Bohn
IRL	2006 Aug 23	39	12	2	2,4	1	1,4	Jeff Kay
ITA	2006 Aug 10	64	64	3	3,5	3	4,3	Stefano Savelli
NOR	2006 Aug 15	86	23	4	4,7	1	1,4	Torvald Klem
NZL	2006 Aug 6	74	66	3	3,5	3	4,3	Alan Hayes
POR	2006 Aug 23	46	43	2	2,4	2	2,9	Paulo Gomes
RSA	2006 Aug 21	98	73	4	4,7	3	4,3	Simon Clarke
SWE	2006 Aug 16	51	12	3	3,5	1	1,4	Eric Horwitz
USA	2006 Aug 21	205	168	7	8,2	6	8,6	Steve Landeau
<u>IOMICA Executive committee</u>								
Chairman				1	1,2	1	1,4	Greg Willis (AUS)
Vice Chairman Technical				1	1,2	1	1,4	Roy Langbord (USA)
Vice Chairman Measurement				1	1,2	1	1,4	Roy Thompson (ESP)
Vice Chairman Events				1	1,2	1	1,4	Bruce Andersen (USA)
Vice Chairman Infocomms				1	1,2	1	1,4	Anders Wallin (FIN)
Secretary				1	1,2	1	1,4	Andy Stevenson (GBR)
Treasurer				1	1,2	1	1,4	David Turton (AUS)
Sums		2374	1985	85	100,0	70	100,0	

